

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication in a law journal and is not binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

**Ex parte** JUKKA JAKARA, JUHA PATOLA and AARTO PAREN


Application No. 09/581,885

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO THE EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on January 6, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Appellant filed an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) on November 4, 2004. A review of the application reveals that the examiner did not properly consider the IDS filed on November 4, 2004.



Application No. 09/581,885

According to the **Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP)**

§ 609(C)(2) (Rev. 1, Feb. 2000):

Examiners must consider **all** citations submitted in conformance with the rules and this section, and their initials when placed adjacent to the considered citations on the list or in the boxes provided on a form PTO-1449 . . . Those citations not considered by the examiner will have a line drawn through the citation and any citations considered will have the examiner's initials adjacent thereto . . .

. . . .

. . . . If a statement fails to comply with requirements as discussed in this section for an item of information, a line should be drawn through the citation to show that it has not been considered. The other items of information listed that **do** comply with the rules and this section **will** be considered by the examiner and **will** be appropriately initialed [emphasis added].

It is clear from the record that the Information Disclosure Statement was not properly considered by the examiner.

#### **EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

Upon procedural review of the Examiner's Answer mailed May, 3, 2005, the Answer is deemed non-complaint with the new rules set forth in 37 CFR § 41.39 effective September 13, 2004. The application reveals that the following content is missing from the Examiner's Answer in the order and with the context stated in the Rules:

Section "**(8) Evidence Relied Upon**," fails to list the relevant references applied in the rejections on appeal.

Application No. 09/581,885

Correction is required pertaining to the headings and content required in the Examiner's Answer.

Furthermore, it is not clear as to whether the amendment filed March 11, 2005 has been approved for entry. On page 2 of the examiner's answer, it refers to an amendment filed January 15, 2005 which does not appear to be in the file. Clarification and correction is required.

#### **APPEAL BRIEF**

Further review of the application reveals that the Appeal Brief filed on March 15, 2005 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) in-part states:

(a)(1) Appellant must file a brief under this section within two months from the date of filing the notice of appeal under § 41.31.

(2) The brief must be accompanied by the fee set forth in § 41.20(b)(2).

(b) On failure to file the brief, accompanied by the requisite fee, within the period specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the appeal with stand dismissed.

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who is not represented by a registered practitioner need only substantially comply with paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (c)(1)(iv) and (c)(1)(vii) through (c)(1)(x) of this section:

. . . . .

**(ix) Evidence appendix.** An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

**(x) Related proceedings appendix.** An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

The following sections are missing from the Appeal Brief filed March 15, 2005:

(10) **Evidence Appendix** as stated in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and

(11) **Related Proceedings Appendix** as stated in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

It is required that a supplemental Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled: "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.

Application No. 09/581,885

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is remanded to the examiner:

(1) for proper consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed November 4, 2004, by either initialing all of the references, or by drawing a line through the references not considered;

(2) notification to appellants in writing of consideration;

(3) hold the Appeal Brief filed on March 15, 2005, defective;

(4) to notify appellants to file a supplemental Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37;


(5) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed May 3, 2005, to consider the supplemental Appeal Brief; and submit a revised Examiner's Answer in accordance with the new rules effective September 13, 2004 and clarifying the status of amendments after-final; and

(6) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 09/581,885

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By:



\_\_\_\_\_  
CRAIG R. FEINBERG  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

cc: MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.  
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER  
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101

CRF:hh